

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/645,035	08/21/2003	Michael E. Ring	CRD 01482	7356
75	90 11/28/2007		EXAMINER	
JAMES RAY	& ASSOCIATES	·	•	
2640 Pitcairn Road			ABTIDUT	DADED MINADED
Monroeville, PA 15146			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/645,035	RING ET AL.	
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit	
,	Melody M. Burch	3683	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 19 July 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).

- 4. (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
- The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
- The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
- 7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
- 8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
- 9. The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
- 10. ☐ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

With respect to box 4, Examiner notes that the brief fails to contain a concise explanation of the subject matter of each independent claim involved in the appeal. Instead, Appellant provides a lengthy section including details of dependent claims in addition to the independent claim descriptions. With respect to boxes 8 and 9, Examiner notes that Appellant failed to include the Evidence appendix and the Related proceedings appendix as required. Additionally, Examiner notes that the brief includes drawing objection arguments that are not appealable to the board but instead are petitionable to the Director. Also, the claims section of the brief should have the heading "Claims appendix".

Melody M. Burch Primary Examiner to,